

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JANET HILLER,
Petitioner,
v.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE
STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

Case No. 2:19-cv-00260-RFB-EJY

ORDER

In this habeas corpus action, the respondents filed a motion to dismiss on May 7, 2024 (ECF No. 66). The petitioner, Janet Hiller, represented by appointed counsel, was due to respond to the motion to dismiss by July 8, 2024. See Scheduling Order entered December 22, 2023 (ECF No. 50).

On July 8, 2024, Hiller filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 69) requesting a 45-day extension, to August 22, 2024, to respond to the motion to dismiss. Hiller's counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because she is relatively new to this case and because of her obligations in other cases. Hiller's counsel represents that Respondents do not oppose the motion for extension of time.

The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the requested extension of time.

111

111

111

111

111

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time
2 (ECF No. 69) is **GRANTED**. Petitioner will have until and including **August 22, 2024**, to
3 respond to the motion to dismiss. In all other respects, the schedule for further
4 proceedings set forth in the order entered December 22, 2023 (ECF No. 50) remains in
5 effect.

6
7 DATED: July 8, 2024.

8
9
10 

11 RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28